

4. Allocated in the *Trabaja* of the
Association scientifique Expédition.

Part of *Convolvulaceae* are in type
Colanaceae in the printers hands
and *Scrophulariaceae* go to him
tomorrow or next day

If you have anything to say about
Gymnanandra pray let me know as
soon. It connects *Claytonia* with
Epithymum if I am not mistaken but I
must examine it again

This part of *Genera* etc. will have
to comprise about 1650 genera
above 700 are already in type

Ever yours
J. Deutham

25, WILTON PLACE.
S.W.

Sept 27/75

My dear Gray

In reply to your recd this
morning

Immediately after *Probancheae*
I must put *Leutbuckeria* and
Columellaria - not that they have
any business there but that they
interrupt the series less than
any where else. I feel more convinced
than ever that *Leutbuckeria* belong
to the *Didymaeae* ~~not~~ *biacarpellae*
series and are not *biacarpellae* as
I did then believe, nor allied to
Primulaeae - though not very close
to *Scrophulariaceae* and as to
Columellaria it is certainly a labiate
and *Andros*, and is I think
more to *Geraniaceae* than to
Scrophulariaceae but it is very anomalous

We have therefore

60 Cxvii Lenticularium

61 Cxviii Columellaceae

Cxix Eedmeraceae

62 Cxx Oxgoniaceae

63 Cxxi Pedalinaceae

64 Cxxii Acanthaceae

Between Acanthaceae and Mithaceae must come Myoporineae, Selaginiae and Globulariaceae but till I have gone through them I cannot say whether I should make two or three Orders of them. They are out of place on account of their pendulous roots and reversed radicle - yet they are undoubtedly near Verbenaceae.

Then there come Mithaceae which I have not examined yet but from what is said of them I conjecture they must go into Verbenaceae next Newcastle.

If so the most probable sequence will be

Cxxiii Myoporineae

Cxxiv Selaginiae (Globalaceae)

64 Cxxv Verbenaceae

Cxxvi Labiateae

Cxxvii Plantaginaceae which will share the rest

I have just corrected proofs of Boraginaceae and have been obliged to say something of Miers' *Aucunnum* which I much regret he has published. He is getting too old (as I shall soon be) and I have a great regard for him and only lament the more that he should have committed himself by making two genera out of *Cordia* so nearly allied to *C. Sebestena* and by giving, as a copy of Bonpland's figure of *Laccellium* so grossly altered a representation. The *Aucunnum* itself has so remarkable a fruiting calyx that I had characterized it as a genus myself and have adopted Miers' name but it is in other respects a true *Cordia* and exceedingly well figured as such.